

Conditional Probability

October 15, 2014

Table of contents

- 1 Table of contents
- 2 Conditional probability
- 3 Bayes' Rule
- 4 Diagnostic tests
- 5 DLRs

Conditional probability, motivation

- The probability of getting a one when rolling a (standard) die is usually assumed to be one sixth
- Suppose you were given the extra information that the die roll was an odd number (hence 1, 3 or 5)
- *conditional on this new information*, the probability of a one is now one third

Conditional probability, definition

- Let B be an event so that $P(B) > 0$
- Then the conditional probability of an event A given that B has occurred is

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

- Notice that if A and B are independent, then

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(A)P(B)}{P(B)} = P(A)$$

- Consider our die roll example
- $B = \{1, 3, 5\}$
- $A = \{1\}$

$$\begin{aligned}P(\text{one given that roll is odd}) &= P(A \mid B) \\ &= \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} \\ &= \frac{P(A)}{P(B)} \\ &= \frac{1/6}{3/6} = \frac{1}{3}\end{aligned}$$

For two sets A and B , which yields that

$$P(B | A) = \frac{P(A | B)P(B)}{P(A | B)P(B) + P(A | \neg B)P(\neg B)}.$$

Example: diagnostic tests

- Let $+$ and $-$ be the events that the result of a diagnostic test is positive or negative respectively
- Let D and $\neg D$ be the event that the subject of the test has or does not have the disease respectively
- The **sensitivity** is the probability that the test is positive given that the subject actually has the disease, $P(+ | D)$
- The **specificity** is the probability that the test is negative given that the subject does not have the disease, $P(- | \neg D)$

More definitions

- The **positive predictive value** is the probability that the subject has the disease given that the test is positive, $P(D | +)$
- The **negative predictive value** is the probability that the subject does not have the disease given that the test is negative, $P(\neg D | -)$
- The **prevalence of the disease** is the marginal probability of disease, $P(D)$

More definitions

- The **diagnostic likelihood ratio of a positive test**, labeled DLR_+ , is $P(+ | D)/P(+ | \neg D)$, which is the

$$\textit{sensitivity}/(1 - \textit{specificity})$$

- The **diagnostic likelihood ratio of a negative test**, labeled DLR_- , is $P(- | D)/P(- | \neg D)$, which is the

$$(1 - \textit{sensitivity})/\textit{specificity}$$

- A study comparing the efficacy of HIV tests, reports on an experiment which concluded that HIV antibody tests have a sensitivity of 99.7% and a specificity of 98.5%
- Suppose that a subject, from a population with a .1% prevalence of HIV, receives a positive test result. What is the probability that this subject has HIV?
- Mathematically, we want $P(D | +)$ given the sensitivity, $P(+ | D) = .997$, the specificity, $P(- | \neg D) = .985$, and the prevalence $P(D) = .001$

Using Bayes' formula

$$\begin{aligned}P(D | +) &= \frac{P(+ | D)P(D)}{P(+ | D)P(D) + P(+ | \neg D)P(\neg D)} \\&= \frac{P(+ | D)P(D)}{P(+ | D)P(D) + \{1 - P(- | \neg D)\}\{1 - P(D)\}} \\&= \frac{.997 \times .001}{.997 \times .001 + .015 \times .999} \\&= .062\end{aligned}$$

- In this population a positive test result only suggests a 6% probability that the subject has the disease
- (The positive predictive value is 6% for this test)

More on this example

- The low positive predictive value is due to low prevalence of disease and the somewhat modest specificity
- Suppose it was known that the subject was an intravenous drug user and routinely had intercourse with an HIV infected partner
- Notice that the evidence implied by a positive test result does not change because of the prevalence of disease in the subject's population, only our interpretation of that evidence changes

- Using Bayes rule, we have

$$P(D | +) = \frac{P(+ | D)P(D)}{P(+ | D)P(D) + P(+ | \neg D)P(\neg D)}$$

and

$$P(\neg D | +) = \frac{P(+ | \neg D)P(\neg D)}{P(+ | D)P(D) + P(+ | \neg D)P(\neg D)}.$$

- Therefore

$$\frac{P(D | +)}{P(\neg D | +)} = \frac{P(+ | D)}{P(+ | \neg D)} \times \frac{P(D)}{P(\neg D)}$$

ie

post-test odds of $D = DLR_+ \times$ pre-test odds of D

- Similarly, DLR_- relates the decrease in the odds of the disease after a negative test result to the odds of disease prior to the test.

HIV example revisited

- Suppose a subject has a positive HIV test
- $DLR_+ = .997 / (1 - .985) \approx 66$
- The result of the positive test is that the odds of disease is now 66 times the pretest odds
- Or, equivalently, the hypothesis of disease is 66 times more supported by the data than the hypothesis of no disease

HIV example revisited

- Suppose that a subject has a negative test result
- $DLR_- = (1 - .997)/.985 \approx .003$
- Therefore, the post-test odds of disease is now .3% of the pretest odds given the negative test.
- Or, the hypothesis of disease is supported .003 times that of the hypothesis of absence of disease given the negative test result